

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

Criminal Action 2:24-066  
JUDGE MICHAEL H. WATSON

ADRIAN McKINNEY

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Defendant Adrian McKinney previously pleaded not guilty to an *Indictment* charging him with possession with intent to distribute a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine within 1,000 feet of a school in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841, 860(a) (Count 1), being a felon in possession of a firearm in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1), 924(a)(8) (Count 2), and possession of a firearm in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(a)(1)(A)(i) (Count 3). *Indictment*, ECF No. 12. The *Indictment* also includes a forfeiture provision. *Id.* Defendant has expressed his intention to plead guilty to those charges, although the parties have not entered into a plea agreement. *Notice of Intent to Plead Guilty without a Plea Agreement*, ECF No. 24. On August 28, 2024, defendant, assisted by his counsel, personally participated in a change of plea proceeding.

Defendant consented, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(3), to enter a guilty plea before a Magistrate Judge. See *United States v. Cukaj*, 25 Fed.Appx. 290, 291 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001) (Magistrate Judge may accept a guilty plea with the express consent of the defendant and where no objection to the report and recommendation is filed).

During the plea proceeding, the undersigned observed the appearance and responsiveness of defendant in answering questions. Based on that observation, the undersigned is satisfied that, at the time he entered his guilty plea, defendant was in full possession of

his faculties, was not suffering from any apparent physical or mental illness and was not under the influence of narcotics, other drugs, or alcohol.

Prior to accepting defendant's plea, the undersigned addressed defendant personally and in open court and determined his competence to plead. Based on the observations of the undersigned, defendant understands the nature and meaning of the charges in the *Indictment* and the consequences of his plea of guilty to those charges.

Defendant was also addressed personally and in open court and advised of each of the rights referred to in Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

Having engaged in the colloquy required by Rule 11, the Court concludes that defendant's plea is voluntary. Defendant was advised that all sentencing terms will be determined by the District Judge. Defendant was further advised that, if the sentence imposed is more severe than the sentence that defendant expected, defendant may nevertheless not withdraw his guilty plea on that basis.

Defendant confirmed the accuracy of the statement of facts supporting the charges. *Statement of Facts*, ECF No. 25. He confirmed that he is pleading guilty to Counts 1, 2, and 3 of the *Indictment* because he is in fact guilty of the crimes charged in those counts. The Court concludes that there is a factual basis for the plea.

The Court concludes that defendant's plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2, and 3 of the *Indictment* is knowingly and voluntarily made with understanding of the nature and meaning of the charges and of the consequences of the plea.

It is therefore **RECOMMENDED** that defendant's guilty plea to Counts 1, 2, and 3 of the *Indictment* be accepted.

In accordance with S.D. Ohio Crim. R. 32.1, and as expressly agreed to by defendant through counsel, a written presentence investigation report will be prepared by the United States Probation Office. Defendant will be asked to provide information; defendant's attorney may be present if defendant so wishes. Objections to the

presentence report must be made in accordance with the rules of this Court.

If any party seeks review by the District Judge of this *Report and Recommendation*, that party may, within fourteen (14) days, file and serve on all parties objections to the *Report and Recommendation*, specifically designating this *Report and Recommendation*, and the part thereof in question, as well as the basis for objection thereto. 28 U.S.C. §636(b) (1); F.R. Civ. P. 72(b). Response to objections must be filed within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy thereof. F.R. Civ. P. 72(b).

The parties are specifically advised that failure to object to the *Report and Recommendation* will result in a waiver of the right to *de novo* review by the District Judge and of the right to appeal the decision of the District Court adopting the *Report and Recommendation*. See *United States v. Wandahsega*, 924 F.3d 868, 878 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2019); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).

August 28, 2024  
Date

s/ Norah McCann King  
Norah McCann King  
United States Magistrate Judge